

Doc Code: M865 or FAIREQ.INTV

 PTOL-413A (08-10)
 Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0851-0081
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

 Application No.: 10/561,082-Conf. #6131 First Named Applicant: Davy Jacobs
 Examiner: K. C. Verdi Art Unit: 2194 Status of Application: Published

Tentative Participants:

 (1) Elisabeth H. Hunt (2) James H. Morris
 (3) _____ (4) _____

 Proposed Date of Interview: February 16, 2011 Proposed Time: 1:00 PM (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

 (1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

 Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej. § 112</u>	<u>Claims 1, 14, 17, 22</u>	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej. § 103</u>	<u>All independent claims</u>	<u>Comeau</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached
 ☐ Proposed Amendment or Arguments Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: _____

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on 3/2/2011

NOTE: This form should be completed and filed by applicant in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). If this form is signed by a registered practitioner not of record, the Office will accept this as an indication that he or she is authorized to conduct an interview on behalf of the principal (37 CFR 1.32(a)(3)) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.34. This is not a power of attorney to any above named practitioner. See the Instruction Sheet for this form, which is incorporated by reference. By signing this form, applicant or practitioner is certifying that he or she has read the Instruction Sheet. After the interview is conducted, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview.

Elisabeth H. Hunt
 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

/KimbleAnn C. Verdi/

 Examiner/SPE Signature

Elisabeth H. Hunt
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

87,336
 Registration Number, if applicable

Docket No.: S1022.71021US00
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Davy Jacobs et al.
Serial No.: 10/561,082
Confirmation No.: 6131
Filed: January 29, 2007
For: CUSTOMER FRAMEWORK FOR EMBEDDED
APPLICATIONS
Examiner: K. C. Verdi
Art Unit: 2194

PROPOSED INTERVIEW AGENDA

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants' representatives James H. Morris and Elisabeth H. Hunt thank Examiner Verdi for agreeing to conduct a telephone interview to discuss the outstanding Office Action dated December 10, 2010. The interview has been scheduled for the following date and time:

Wednesday, February 16, 2011, at 1:00 p.m.

During the telephone interview, Applicants' representatives would like to discuss the claims and the rejections as set forth in the outstanding Office Action, to develop a common understanding of the interpretation of the claims and the cited art.

For example, Applicants' representatives would like to discuss the following points:

- ❖ At pages 3-5, the outstanding Office Action repeats the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 that were set forth in the previous Office Action dated May 12, 2010. During the telephone interview, Applicants' representatives seek clarification as to why the Examiner believes

2230016.2

Application No.: 10/561,082

2

Docket No.: S1022.71021US00

that the amendments made in Applicants' response filed August 10, 2010, did not overcome these rejections.


- ❖ At page 8, the outstanding Office Action asserts that "OS tasks normally run at a higher priority than other tasks in a multi-tasking, pre-emptive RTOS like from Wind River Systems". During the interview, Applicants' representatives seek clarification as to how the Examiner believes this statement to be supported in the art of record.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding this proposed interview agenda, she is invited to call the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Dated: 2/7/11

Respectfully submitted,

By



James H. Morris

Registration No.: 34,681

Elisabeth H. Hunt

Registration No.: 67,336

WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

617.646.8000

2230016.2